

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,258	11/26/2003	Brian Scott Crawford	8200.847	6143
7590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER		
LINIAK, BERENATO & WHITE			KIM, YOON YOUNG	
Suite 240 6550 Rock Spring Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bethesda, MD 20817			1723	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/721,258	CRAWFORD ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Yoon-Young Kim	1723				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u>	larch 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
		diffile. Note the attached office	Action of form 1 10-132.				
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 0304.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wong et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,423,225 B2.

Regarding Claim 1, Wong discloses a filter assembly for filtering a fluid, the filter assembly comprising: a substantially annular filter element (#12); a filter housing (#14) containing the filter element; an end plate (#32) secured to the filter housing, the end plate having at least one fluid inlet (#36); and a directional fluid insert (#40) having at least one of fin (#42) disposed between the filter element and the end plate, the at least one of fin provided to cause the fluid entering the filter housing through the at least one fluid inlet to swirl around the filter element.

Regarding Claim 2, Wong discloses that the end plate is permanently secured to the first end of the filter housing (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 3, Wong discloses that the end plate is further provided with at least one fluid outlet (#34).

Art Unit: 1723

Regarding Claim 4, Wong discloses that a directional fluid insert is formed as a single-piece plastic molding (Col. 3, Lines 50-55).

Regarding Claim 5, Wong discloses that the directional fluid insert is attached to the first end of the filter element (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 9, Wong discloses that at least one fin of the directional fluid insert has a substantially flat fluid deflecting surface canted at an angle with respect to a central axis of the filter assembly (Fig. 7).

3. Claims 1-3, 5-9, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rhyne et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,761,822 B1.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding Claim 1, Rhyne discloses a filter assembly for filtering a fluid, the filter assembly comprising: a substantially annular filter element (#40); a filter housing (#12) containing the filter element; an end plate (#18) secured to the filter housing, the end plate having at least one fluid inlet (#20); and a directional fluid insert (#120) having at least one of fin (#122) disposed between the filter element and the end plate, the at least one of fin provided to cause the fluid entering the filter housing through the at least one fluid inlet to swirl around the filter element.

Art Unit: 1723

Regarding Claim 2, Rhyne discloses that the end plate is permanently secured to the first end of the filter housing (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 3, Rhyne discloses that the end plate is further provided with at least one fluid outlet (#22).

Regarding Claim 5, Rhyne discloses that the directional fluid insert is attached to the first end of the filter element (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 6, Rhyne discloses that the directional fluid insert includes a snap fit coupling for securing the directional fluid insert to the first end of the filter element (Col. 5., Lines 14-18).

Regarding Claims 7 and 12, Rhyne discloses that the snap fit coupling includes a plurality of flexible mounting tabs (#142) formed integrally with and axially extending from the directional fluid insert.

Regarding Claim 8, Rhyne discloses that at least one fin (Fig. 5, #122) of the directional fluid insert has a substantially curved fluid deflecting surface.

Regarding Claim 9, Rhyne discloses that at least one fin of the directional fluid insert has a substantially flat fluid deflecting surface canted at an angle with respect to a central axis of the filter assembly (Fig. 4).

Regarding Claim 11, Rhyne discloses that the directional fluid insert includes a substantially annular base ring (#140) formed integrally with a plurality of fins.

Regarding Claim 13, Rhyne discloses that the plurality of the fins extend substantially radially from the base ring (Fig. 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,258 Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong.

Regarding Claim 6, Wong discloses that the directional fluid insert includes a snap fit coupling for securing the directional fluid insert to the filter element (Col. 3, Lines 48-50) but does not disclose a snap fit to the first end. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wong to snap fit the directional fluid insert in the first embodiment because it is a method of attachment common in the filter art.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong in view of Janik et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,938,921.

Regarding Claim 10, Wong does not disclose a continuous spiral fin. Janik teaches a filter assembly comprising a filter insert with a spiral fin (Fig. 2, #56). It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wong with the element of Janik in order to decelerate the flow of fluid (Col. 5, Lines 17-25).

Page 6

7. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Rhyne in view of Lynch et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,785,850.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Regarding Claim 14, Rhyne does not disclose an annular outer ring. Lynch teaches a filter assembly comprising an outer ring (Fig. 4, #46) connected to the base ring (#60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rhyne with the element of Lynch because they are both oil filters with fluid inserts that cause the fluid to swirl around the filter.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,258

Art Unit: 1723

Regarding Claim 15, it would have been obvious to combine the mounting tabs (#142) of Rhyne with the outer ring (#46) of Lynch to be coupled to the insert to the filter element because they are a method of attachment common in the filter art.

Page 7

8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Wong in view of Rhyne.

Regarding Claim 16, Wong discloses a filter assembly for filtering a fluid, the filter assembly comprising: a substantially annular filter element (#12); a filter housing (#14) containing the filter element; an end plate (#32) secured to the filter housing, the end plate having a central fluid outlet (#34) and a plurality of fluid inlets (#36); and a directional fluid insert (#40) having a plurality of fins (#42) disposed between the filter element and the end plate, the at fins provided to cause the fluid entering the filter housing through the at least one fluid inlet to swirl around the filter element; wherein the directional fluid insert is formed as a single-piece plastic molding (Col. 3, Lines 50-55). However Wong does not disclose a base ring or mounting tabs. Rhyne teaches a filter assembly comprising a directional fluid insert includes a substantially annular base ring (#140) formed integrally with a plurality of fins that the snap fit coupling includes a plurality of flexible mounting tabs (#142) formed integrally with and axially extending from the directional fluid insert. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wong with the element of Rhyne because they are both fluid filters with fluid inserts that cause the fluid to swirl around the filter.

9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong in view of Rhyne and Lynch.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,258

Art Unit: 1723

Page 8

Regarding Claim 17, Wong discloses a filter assembly for filtering a fluid, the filter assembly comprising: a substantially annular filter element (#12); a filter housing (#14) containing the filter element; an end plate (#32) secured to the filter housing, the end plate having a central fluid outlet (#34) and a plurality of fluid inlets (#36); and a directional fluid insert (#40) having a plurality of fins (#42) disposed between the filter element and the end plate, the at fins provided to cause the fluid entering the filter housing through the at least one fluid inlet to swirl around the filter element, each of the fins having a substantially flat fluid deflecting surface canted at an angle with respect to a central axis of the filter assembly (Fig. 7); wherein the directional fluid insert is formed as a single-piece plastic molding (Col. 3, Lines 50-55). However Wong does not disclose a base ring, outer ring, or mounting tabs. Rhyne teaches a filter assembly comprising a directional fluid insert includes a substantially annular base ring (#140) formed integrally with a plurality of fins that the snap fit coupling includes a plurality of flexible mounting tabs (#142) formed integrally with and axially extending from the directional fluid insert. Lynch teaches a filter assembly comprising an outer ring (Fig. 4, #46) connected to the base ring (#60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Wong with the elements of Rhyne and Lynch because they are all fluid filters with fluid inserts that cause the fluid to swirl around the filter.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yoon-Young Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30. Mon-Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,258

Art Unit: 1723

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YK

11/18/05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700